

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/649,612 08/28/2003 Yoshitsugu Kato 1035 -466 5283 **EXAMINER** 23117 7590 01/13/2006 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC PRETLOW, DEMETRIUS R 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22203 2863

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/649,612	KATO ET AL.	1	M
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Demetrius R. Pretlow	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1.	<u>2/05</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits i	S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.5-8 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-4,9-11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Mtachment(c)				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/649,612

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2,3,9,10 objected to because of the following informalities:

In reference to claim 3, line 7. "CR" needs to be defined.

In claims 2, 9 and 10, the examiner can not ascertain as to what would be a "slight voltage fluctuation".

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,5,6,7,8,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozlowski et al. (2003/0184307) Kozlowski et al. teach a load applying section for applying a current to a battery. Note paragraph 86, lines 9-13. Kozlowski et al. teach a measuring section measuring input output characteristics of the battery in response to the applied load. Note paragraph,63, line 8, paragraph 65, lines 1-5. Kozlowski et al. teach said battery state diagnosing applies the load to the battery as a current load. Note paragraph 86, lines 9-13. Kozlowski et al. teach a diagnosing section diagnosing a state of the battery by applying a result of the measurement to a mathematical

expression obtained by a system identification method. Note paragraph 42, lines 1-8 and paragraph 57, lines 1-7.

In reference to claim 5, Kozlowski et al. teach the limitations above, Kozlowski et al. does not explicitly teach load applying section applies current to the battery when the battery is not supplied with fuel. However this limitation would be deemed inherent to the charging of batteries. Note paragraph 86, lines11-14.

In reference to claim 6, Kozlowski et al. does not explicitly teach a circuit section for constituting a closed circuit by serially connecting the battery to a current load when diagnosing the battery, however this is deemed inherent to the charging of the battery in which the charging data is used to diagnose the battery. Note paragraph 42, lines 4-8. Kozlowski et al. teach a measuring section, connected to the circuit section, for measuring a terminal voltage of the battery and current flowing in the circuit section.

Note paragraph 34, lines 1-6. Kozlowski et al. teach a diagnosing section diagnosing by the system identification method, a state of the battery based on a result of the measurement by the measuring section. Note paragraph 42, lines 1-8 and paragraph 57, lines 1-7.

In reference to claim 7, Kozlowski et al. does not explicitly teach a circuit section for constituting a closed circuit by serially connecting the battery to a voltage source when diagnosing the battery, however this is deemed inherent to the charging of the battery in which the charging data is used to diagnose the battery. Note paragraph 86, line 9-11 and paragraph 42, lines 4-8. Kozlowski et al. teach a measuring section, connected to the circuit section, for measuring a terminal voltage of the battery and

Art Unit: 2863

current flowing in the circuit section. Note paragraph 34, lines 1-6. Kozlowski et al. teach a diagnosing section diagnosing by the system identification method, a state of the battery based on a result of the measurement by the measuring section. Note paragraph 42, lines 1-8 and paragraph 57, lines 1-7.

In reference to claim 8, Kozlowski et al. teach applying a load to a battery, Note paragraph 86, lines 9-13. Kozlowski teach measuring input and output characteristics of the battery in response to the applied load. Note paragraph,63, line 8, paragraph 65, lines 1-5. Kozlowski al. teach diagnosing a state of the battery by applying a result of the measurement to a mathematical expression obtained by a system identification method. Note paragraph 42, lines 1-8 and paragraph 57, lines 1-7. Kozlowski et al does not explicitly teach applying a load to the battery serially connects the battery to a current load, however this is deemed inherent to the charging of the battery in which the charging data is used to diagnose the battery. Note paragraph 42, lines 4-8.

In reference to claim 12, Kozlowski et al. teach applying a current to a batter.

Note paragraph,86, lines 9-13. Kozlowski et al. teach measuring input output characteristics of the battery in response to the applied current load. Note paragraph,63, line 8, paragraph 65, lines 1-5. Kozlowski et al. diagnosing a state of the battery by applying a result of the measurement to a mathematical expression obtained by a system identification method. Note paragraph 42, lines 1-8 and paragraph 57, lines 1-7. Kozlowski et al. does not explicitly teach the current is applied to the battery when the battery is not supplied with fuel. However this limitation would be deemed inherent to the charging of batteries. Note paragraph 86, lines11-14. Kozlowski et al. does not

Art Unit: 2863

explicitly teach applying a current serially connects the battery to a voltage source, however it is inherent to the charging of the battery in which the charging data is used to diagnose the battery. Note paragraph 42, lines 4-8.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2-4,9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In reference to claim 2 the prior art of record does not teach the inclusion of the limitations of an the measured output characteristic is a terminal voltage of the battery; and when diagnosing the battery by system identification, an electromotive force component of a fluctuating terminal voltage of the battery is removed as a bias. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record.

In reference to claims 3-4 the prior art of record does not teach the inclusion of the limitations of an the measured output characteristic is a terminal voltage of the battery; and when diagnosing the battery by system identification, a fluctuating terminal voltage of the battery is separated into a perpendicular component which derives from a serial resistance of the battery, and a component representing CR dynamics. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record.

In reference to claim 9 the prior art of record does not teach the inclusion of the limitations of an the measured output characteristic is a terminal voltage of the battery;

Application/Control Number: 10/649,612

Art Unit: 2863

and when diagnosing the battery by system identification, an electromotive force component of a fluctuating terminal voltage of the battery is removed as a bias, and a slight voltage fluctuation after the electromotive force component has been removed is amplified and used for the diagnosis by the system identification. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record.

In reference to claims 10-11 the prior art of record does not teach the inclusion of the limitations of an the measured output characteristic is a terminal voltage of the battery; and when diagnosing the battery by system identification, a fluctuating terminal voltage of the battery is separated into a perpendicular component which derives from a serial resistance of the battery, and a component representing CR dynamics; the perpendicular component is removed from the terminal voltage', and a slight voltage fluctuation after the perpendicular component has been removed is amplified and used for the diagnosis by the system identification. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant argues that the previous cited art did not teach the load to the battery being a current load. Kozlowski et al. teach a load applying section for applying a current to a battery. Note paragraph 86, lines 9-13. Note rejection above,

Application/Control Number: 10/649,612 Page 7

Art Unit: 2863

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Denit Prestor 1/10/06

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Patent Examiner

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER